

		Percentage of research activity in the submission judged to meet the standard for:				
		4*	3*	2*	1*	U/C
Overall quality profile		15.0	55.0	25.0	5.0	0.0
Sub-profiles:	Research outputs	9.5	63.5	26.2	0.8	0.0
	Research environment	25.0	40.0	25.0	10.0	0.0
	Esteem indicators	20.0	50.0	30.0	0.0	0.0

Comments

Research outputs The majority of research outputs were assessed as internationally excellent or above. Some outputs were judged as world leading.

Research environment Research studentships per staff FTE were at the UoA median with evidence of a very good training environment. Whilst research income per staff FTE was below the UoA median, income from external sources including industry and the EU was above the median. There was evidence of a strong research infrastructure with recent significant investment in research facilities. The research governance and strategy were well-articulated with clear strategic goals for all groups. Two of the groups, Oral Disease and Oral Neuroscience, provided evidence consistent with international excellence including some world-leading outputs. There was evidence of extensive collaborative links from all the research groups with centres in the UK and internationally. There was evidence of a successful programme to recruit established researchers, both clinical and non-clinical, and to promote existing research-active staff.

Esteem indicators There was evidence of a number of research prizes awarded, keynote addresses given at research conferences and a significant contribution to editorial activity.

Additional remarks The sub-panel considered the individual circumstances of five members of staff.