

Minimum Unit Pricing (MUP)


Evidence Assets developed by:-



Master Slide Deck for all MUPLocal presentations

Stockton

1. Short UTLA/Region specific slides



Minimum Unit Pricing (MUP)


Exploring the impact of the local implementation of a minimum price for a unit of alcohol


- the evidence for

Stockton

Setting the Scene

The scale of the local problem











In Stockton


6.8% of people drink at high risk levels





They drink 39% of all alcohol




They drink <u>50%</u> of the cheap alcohol sold below 50p per unit











Alcohol attributable hospital admissions per 100,000 adult per year



The North East experiences more alcohol related hospitalisations per population than nationally



Alcohol-attributable Deaths per 100,000 Adult Population by Index of Multiple Deprivation Quintile





More deprived areas experience higher rates of alcohol attributable deaths – and the gap between rich and poor can be bigger in many LAs than it is nationally



Impact of MUP


Impact of a 50p MUP locally?





Stockton

MUP IMPACT —



Stockton

— MUP IMPACT ——



Stockton

— MUP IMPACT —



Stockton


Impact of 50p MUP on Average Weekly Alcohol Consumption

— MUP IMPACT —— Consumption




DOWN -6.8%

Stockton




Impact of 50p MUP on alcohol related deaths is bigger in North East than Nationally









Impact on alcohol related deaths is bigger in higher risk drinkers and in deprived areas



A 50p MUP is around 10 times more effective than a 30p threshold & 2 times more effective than a 40p threshold











Impact on Alcohol Sales for Business



Off trade retailers would see substantial increased revenue

On trade retailers would see very little change in revenue

2. Detailed UTLA/Region specific slides



Minimum Unit Pricing (MUP)

Exploring the impact of the local implementation of a minimum price for a unit of alcohol

- the evidence for

Stockton

What's new?



For the first time, there is scientific evidence at local authority level about the impact of introducing Minimum Unit Pricing (MUP)

Weblink to technical report

This means decision makers can have an informed view of what the introduction of Minimum Unit Pricing (MUP) would mean for individuals, families, communities and services in ...

Stockton



Robust & objective...

The University of Sheffield, a world top 100 university, has conducted research on alcohol consumption and impact of pricing policies since 2008. Studies have been used by national level decision makers in Scotland, England, Wales, Northern Ireland & Ireland.

The studies in this research programme have been endorsed by the likes of World Health Organisation and UK Medical Research Council. and published in The Lancet

The research team has now used a surveys and market research data to develop estimates of the effect of MUP for every Upper Tier Local Authority in the North West and North East of England.

What happens next is in the hands of decision makers and stakeholders ...

The position of the University of Sheffield is objective:

this report sets out the facts and the research findings so that
Local Politicians, Local Authority CEOs, Directors of Public Health,
Police and Crime Commissioners, and the wider community with a stake in
reducing alcohol-related harm:

understand the local authority-level research findings make an informed decision about any next steps, based on the data.

What's the problem?

High alcohol consumption affects health and increases the numbers of

- early deaths and
- hospital admissions

Due to causes everyone knows are linked to drinking e.g.

- alcohol poisoning and
- liver disease

But also other diseases

- throat & breast cancer
- stroke, heart disease, accidental falls and fires.

- Increased crime including violent incidents, thefts and robberies, and incidents of criminal damage
- Impacts work productivity, sickness absence and the economy
- Some children living with people drinking at increased risk consequently require social services support or get taken into care

Whose problem?

Individuals from all parts of the community are affected:

- not just the young but the middle aged and older people
- not just poorer people but also middle income and richer people.

Drinking also affects other people not only the drinker:

- victims of crime
- family & friends of people with health problems
- employers and workmates

Units of alcohol: 10ml (2 teaspoons) pure ethanol



Pint ≈ 2 units



Glass ≈ 2 units



Measure ≈ 1 unit

Beer (4% ABV)

Wine (12% ABV)

Whiskey (40% ABV)

Drinker type	Units per week		
	Men	Women	
Moderate	14 or under	14 or under	
Increasing Risk	Above 14 – 50	Above 14 – 35	
High Risk	Above 50	Above 35	

What is MUP?

MUP sets in law a minimum price for a unit of alcohol. It is highly targeted at heavy drinkers. Level discussed is 50p (as in Scotland).

The cheapest shop bought alcohol would have to rise in price. Bars & restaurants unaffected, they sell alcohol above this price.

•	•				
	A STATE OF THE STA	Michael Carlotte	SMIRNOE	STRONGBO	50% Free 75-05-05-05-05-05-05-05-05-05-05-05-05-05
	440 ml beer (4.0%)	750 ml wine (13.0%)	700 ml vodka (37.5%)	440 ml cider (5.0%)	3L strong cider (7.5%)
Units	1.8	9.8	28	2.2	22.5
Price now	£0.66	£5.99	£10.00	£0.66	£3.69
Price if 50p MUP	£0.88	£4.88	£14.00	£1.10	£11.25
Increase	+22p	none	+£4.00	+34p	+£7.56

Minimum pricing in the UK



50p MUP implemented 1st May 2018 Sunset clause ends policy in 2024



Legislation passed Consulting on level Implementing in summer 2019



Review on-going

No immediate movement expected



Committed to policy Power-sharing assembly currently suspended

EVIDENCE: Does price really affect people's drinking behaviours?

- Price is the most well evidenced effective intervention for reducing alcohol consumption and harms.
- Over 140 research studies
 have shown increasing price to
 be effective in reducing
 consumption and harms.
- Typically these 'price elasticity' studies show a 10% increase in price produces a -5% decrease in purchasing.

EVIDENCE: Does price really affect people's drinking behaviours?

- Research shows MUP targets
 price rises at the cheapest
 alcohol leaving other products
 unaffected, focusing on people
 who drink very large amounts
 of cheap alcohol.
- Something similar to MUP exists in Canada and evidence shows it reduces purchasing, hospital admissions & deaths.
- Evaluations set up in Scotland will look at all of this in UK context


MUP Impact on Alcohol Trade

MUP will mostly affect shops and supermarkets, with the prices of their cheapest alcohol rising to the new minimum, and providing them with additional revenue.

Minimum Unit Pricing *could* possibly provide a small boost for pubs, bars and restaurants. People could switch to buy more of their alcohol in the 'on-trade'.`



50p MUP affects ... supermarket & shop bought alcohol (off-trade) and does NOT really affect pubs & bars (on-trade)





Infographics on scale of problem & effect of MUPLocal

What this means for the nation

Scale of the national problem









NATIONAL

What this means for the nation – social and business burden



ENGLAND





Impact of 50p MUP for England?





NATIONAL

MUP IMPACT —



- MUP IMPACT ----




NATIONAL


MUP IMPACT —



NATIONAL

The scale of the local problem








Impact of a 50p MUP locally?





Stockton

MUP IMPACT -



Stockton

MUP IMPACT -



235 DOWN

Stockton

MUP IMPACT -




Stockton


Graphs on scale of problem & effect of MUPLocal

In Stockton


6.8% of people drink at high risk levels





They drink 39% of all alcohol




They drink <u>50%</u> of the cheap alcohol sold below 50p per unit












Mean Weekly Consumption (Units) vs National and Regional Average





The North East drinks more alcohol per drinker than nationally

Alcohol attributable hospital admissions per 100,000 adult per year




The North East experiences more alcohol related hospitalisations per population than nationally



Alcohol attributable death rate


The North
East
experiences
more
alcohol
related
deaths per
population
than

nationally






Alcohol attributable crime rate



The North East experiences more alcohol related crime per population than nationally

Rate of Children in Need (i.e. in contact with social services) cases where alcohol is a contributory factor per 100,000 adults in LA

The North East has a higher rate of children in contact with social services where alcohol is a factor than nationally








Impact of 50p MUP on inequality:- Difference between most-deprived 1% versus least deprived 1% of people in deaths from alcohol would reduce


North East Alcohol-attributable deaths per 100,000 adults





Slope index of inequality:- Difference between most-deprived 1% versus least deprived 1% of people shows substantial inequality in deaths from alcohol


Yorkshire and the Humber







Slope index of inequality:- Difference between most-deprived 1% versus least deprived 1% of people shows substantial inequality in deaths from alcohol




Impact of 50p MUP on inequality:- Difference between most-deprived 1% versus least deprived 1% of people in deaths from alcohol would reduce

Across
Regions

70

40

30







Current Average Weekly Consumption by drinker group

Consumption

Current weekly units


4.4

•••


25.1


77.6

Stockton



Current Annual Spending by drinker group





Impact of 50p MUP on Average Weekly Alcohol Consumption


MUP IMPACT

Consumption




DOWN -6.8%


Stockton



Impact of 50p MUP on Annual Spending by drinker group




Stockton








Impact on alcohol related deaths is bigger in higher risk drinkers and in deprived areas













Impact of 50p MUP on alcohol related deaths is bigger in North East than Nationally





Impact on Alcohol Sales for Business





Off trade retailers would see substantial increased revenue


On trade retailers would see very little change in revenue



A 50p MUP is around 10 times more effective than a 30p threshold & 2 times more effective than a 40p threshold









What about policy?

Action to tackle the sale of cheap alcohol has been on the agenda for local authorities across the North East and North West for many years.

The Government committed to enshrining Minimum Unit Pricing in law in 2012, but later backed away, demanding more concrete evidence before proceeding.

There's a chance that it could return to the national agenda, especially following the introduction of MUP in Scotland.

Individuals, groups, and organisations continue to lobby government.

What about right here?

Legal advice commissioned by local councils determined that a possible route to introduce Minimum Unit Pricing was through the Sustainable Communities Act 2007, an act of parliament designed to:

"promote the sustainability of local communities," in particular,

"the improvement of the economic, social or environmental well-being of the authority's area."



To introduce MUP this way you need two things:

ONE: The local level evidence - now available from the University of Sheffield study for all authorities in the North of England.

TWO: Local consultation based around the evidence is required to make a proposal under the Act.



What about other routes to change?

Earlier research concluded that Minimum Unit Pricing would be more effective at changing harmful drinking habits and reducing the health inequalities than an increase on duty tax, which would have to rise between 30% and 700% in order to make any comparable impact.

Alcohol duty rises would also hit moderate drinkers and the wider pub trade, while discouraging fewer heavy drinkers to cut back than other measures. Thus, Minimum Unit Pricing is considered the most effective, most targeted measure for cutting harmful drinking.



What next?

- Start a conversation about the evidence with all local stakeholders with an interest in alcohol.
- Join in the conversation by considering the evidence from your own perspective.
- Share the evidence with decision makers in your local authority.
- Share this presentation formally with committees such as your Health and Wellbeing Board...(we've designed this so you can delete and add slides).


- Share this presentation informally on hard copy or on a laptop in meetings.
- Use our individual infographics to start a conversation on Twitter or LinkedIn.
- Use the hashtag #MUPlocal so we can monitor the online conversation on your behalf.
- Use our Frequently Asked Questions to answer common queries.
- Use our (very brief) project summary to start the conversation.

And then?

Responsible decision makers in your local authority will decide:

Their position in relation to the introduction of Minimum Unit Pricing

Whether
the evidence
is sufficient to
warrant a public
consultation
exercise.



End of Detailed Presentation

Exploring the impact of the local implementation of a minimum price for a unit of alcohol

- the evidence for

Stockton