ROBOCHART & ROBOSIM MODELLING ROBOTS AND COLLECTIONS

Alvaro Miyazawa

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF YORK

JANUARY 23, 2019



- Introduction
- RoboChart
- RoboSim
- Collection modelling
- Robotic platform modelling

INTRODUCTION



- State machines are often used to record, illustrate and explain
- Usage is informal
- Potential:
 - ► Testing
 - Code generation
 - Verification

- Graphical notations
- Formal semantics
- Specialised, but comprehensive
- Supporting simulation, analysis and verification



ROBOCHART

- Standard state machines + time + probability
- Formal semantics: untimed, timed and probabilistic
- Well-formedness conditions
- Tool support:
 - Modelling
 - Validation
 - Code generation: semantics and simulation

- Models a single Robot
- 1 Robotic Platform
- 1+ Controllers
- Communication
 - Synchronous
 - Asynchronous
- Robotic Platform may provide shared variables

Records assumptions about the robot hardware

- which events the robot provides
- which operations the robot supports
- which variables are available
- Independent of controller and state-machines
- Single point of interaction with robot

CONTROLLER

- Models a specific behaviour
- Contains:
 - Behavioural state-machines
 - Operations
 - Variables
 - Events
- Supports multiple behavioural state-machines
- Communication between state-machines is synchronous

- Main behavioural specification construct
- Models both operations and behaviours
- Simple, Composite and Final states
- Initial and junction nodes
- Non-interlevel transitions
- Actions: entry, during, exit, transition
- Local variables

- Types based on Z Mathematical Toolkit
- Action language:
 - Assignment
 - Event signalling
 - Operation call
 - Sequential composition

Control statements modelled using junctions and transitions

- Formalised in CSP
- Coverage:
 - State-Machines
 - Controllers
 - Robotic Platforms
 - Modules

Module = CSP Process

- Parallel composition of controllers
- Connections define synchronisation sets
- Asynchronous communication modelled through buffers
- Robotic platform incorporated via renaming
- Controller = CSP Process
 - Parallel composition of state-machines
 - Connections define synchronisation sets
 - External interactions via controller established via renaming

SEMANTICS: OVERVIEW

State-Machine = CSP Process

- Parallel composition of states
- Transitions are part of the source states
- Junctions are part of the incoming transition
- Initial nodes and final states are part of the parent state
- States interact with each other to enter and exit
- States synchronise on transition triggers to support top-down interruption

Action language

- Operation call = Process call
- Event signalling = Communication on event channel
- Assignment = Communication on setter channel
- State components
 - Isolated in memory process due to sharing
 - Help avoid polling for transition conditions

- Eclipse plugins
- Textual editor developed using Xtext
- Graphical editor developed using Sirius
- Code generator for the semantics
- Code generator for simulation
- Validation rules



Case studies:

- Alpha Algorithm (Single Robot and Collection);
- Chemical Detector;
- Autonomous Chemical Detector;
- ► Foraging;
- Transport; etc.
- Generated semantics used for verification using FDR4
- FDR4 compression functions highly effective

- Generation of simulations
- Generation of probabilistic semantics
- Generation of sematics for Isabelle/UTP

- Based on RoboChart
- Explicit cyclic pattern for simulation
- Related to RoboChart models via refinement

COLLECTION MODELLING

RoboChart

The focus of RoboChart is the modelling, analysis and simulation of individual robots.

RoboChart

The focus of RoboChart is the modelling, analysis and simulation of individual robots.

Other notations

Support in other notations tends to be concrete.

Support modelling, analysis and simulation of collections
 Reuse RoboChart models and semantics

- new implicit type ID and module constant id;
- robotic platform events are broadcast and directional;
- broadcast events have implicit ID parameters: to and from;
- input events can restrict from and record its value;
- output events can restrict to parameter; and
- new diagram describes group of collections and how they communicate.



$$\begin{array}{l} (9\,i:\{1..N\} \bullet AggregationRobot(i)) \\ J\{\mbox{report.in, report.out, ack.in, ack.out}\}K \\ \begin{pmatrix} 9\,i:\{1..N\} \bullet 9\,j:(\{1..N\} \setminus \{i\}) \bullet Buffer(\langle\rangle, report, i, report, j) \\ 9 \\ 9\,i:\{1..N\} \bullet 9\,j:(\{1..N\} \setminus \{i\}) \bullet Buffer(\langle\rangle, ack, i, ack, j) \\ \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

Alpha Algorithm



Alpha Algorithm (old)





ev![|pred|]!e
semantics ev.out.id?to: {x | x ← ID, pred}!e → Skip
ev[| v = from | pred |]?u
semantics ev.in?from: {x | x ← ID, pred}.id?y → set_v!from → set_u!y → Skip

Current status

- Partial support for modelling
- Code generation for semantics
- Validation

Current status

- Partial support for modelling
- Code generation for semantics
- Validation

Ongoing work

- Complete modelling support
- Extend simulation generation

Current status

- Partial support for modelling
- Code generation for semantics
- Validation

Ongoing work

- Complete modelling support
- Extend simulation generation

Future work

- Optimise verification
- Investigate data abstraction and induction with FDR4
- Investigate theorem proving with Isabelle/UTP

ROBOTIC PLATFORM MODELLING

- RoboChart focuses on modelling controllers
- Robotic platform is abstracted as a set of events, variables and operations
- Existing XML-based notations: URDF, SDF, Collada
 - not convenient for modelling
 - not abstract enough
 - no facilities for modelling behaviour

- Restructure and refactor SDF
- Provide graphical representation
- Extend with facilities to
 - model behaviours
 - map between operations, events and variables to sensors and actuators
- Formal semantics integrated with RoboSim
- Linked to RoboChart via abstraction
- Generate both SDF models and platform dependent simulation code











SEMANTICS

Inputs

distance : $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$

Behaviour Revolute

$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v} &= \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{das} / \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{das} \\ \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{as'} + \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{as} &= \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{i} \\ \mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{i'} + \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{i} &= \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{as} \end{aligned}$

las, ras : $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$

Outputs

Behaviour IR

 $voltage = 4 \times e^{-0.028 \times distance}$



move(Is:real,as:real)	obstacle
Local Variables	(IR.voltage >= 3.0)
dsl,dsr : real	
Constants	
axisLength: real = width+2*(radius/4+0.5cm)	
Equations	
LHinge.das = dsl	stop()
RHinge.das = dsr	Action
as=radius*(dsl+dsr)/2 as=radius*(dsl-dsr)/axisLength	move(0.0)

Step $(l, r) = u \mathbf{X} \circ ((A \text{ init } ldas, rdas = l, r))$	
$Otep(t, r) = \mu X \bullet (until (voltage > 3); obstacle \longrightarrow X)$	
$\mathcal{M} = $ var $l, r : \mathcal{R} \bullet l, r := 0, 0; \ \mu X \bullet \mathcal{S}tep(l, r) $	
$(move \ ls \ as \longrightarrow \{l, r\} \cdot [true, ls = rd \times (l+r)/2 \land] \cdot x$	
$as = rd \times (l-r)/aL$	













SEMANTICS

Behaviours

$\mathcal{A} = (\textit{Revolute}[\![\textit{das} := \textit{ldas}, \ldots]\!] \mid \textit{Revolute}[\![\textit{das} := \textit{rdas}, \ldots]\!] \mid \textit{IR})$

Behaviours

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A} &= (\textit{Revolute}[\![\textit{das} := \textit{ldas}, \ldots]\!] \mid \textit{Revolute}[\![\textit{das} := \textit{rdas}, \ldots]\!] \mid \textit{IR}) \\ \mathcal{S}tep(l, r) &= \mu X \bullet \begin{pmatrix} (\mathcal{A} \text{ init } \textit{ldas}, \textit{rdas} = l, r) \text{ until } (\textit{voltage} > 3); \\ \textit{obstacle} \longrightarrow X \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

Behaviours

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A} &= (\text{Revolute}[\text{[}das := \text{ldas}, \dots] \text{]} \mid \text{Revolute}[\text{[}das := \text{rdas}, \dots] \text{]} \mid \text{IR}) \\ \mathcal{S}tep(l, r) &= \mu X \bullet \begin{pmatrix} (\mathcal{A} \text{ init } \text{ldas}, \text{rdas} = l, r) \text{ until } (\text{voltage} > 3); \\ \text{obstacle} &\longrightarrow X \\ \mathcal{M} &= \text{ var } l, r : \mathcal{R} \bullet l, r := 0, 0; \\ \mu X \bullet \begin{pmatrix} \text{Step}(l, r) \bigtriangleup \text{ move.ls.as} \longrightarrow \\ \{l, r\} : \begin{bmatrix} \text{true}, \quad ls = rd \times (l+r)/2 \land \\ as = rd \times (l-r)/aL \end{bmatrix}; X \end{pmatrix}$$

- $\blacksquare \ \mathcal{A}$: behaviours of the platform model.
- *Step*: behaviours in *A* until input events are true.
- *M*: behaviours in *Step* interrupted by variables assignments, operation calls and output events

CONCLUSIONS

- RoboChart supports modelling including time and probability
- Formal semantics specified in CSP
- Tool support for modelling, verification and simulation
- RoboSim models can be
 - derived from RoboChart models
 - related to RoboChart models formally
- Partial support for modelling collections and robotic platforms

- Modelling support for platform modelling
- Case studies in platform modelling
- Generation of
 - SDF models
 - simulation code
 - formal semantics
- Integration with RoboChart models via abstraction

REFERENCES

ANA CAVALCANTI, ALVARO MIYAZAWA, AUGUSTO SAMPAIO, WEI LI, PEDRO **RIBEIRO, AND JON TIMMIS.**

MODELLING AND VERIFICATION FOR SWARM ROBOTICS.

In Carlo A. Furia and Kirsten Winter, editors, Integrated Formal Methods, pages 1–19, Cham, 2018. Springer International Publishing.

DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-98938-9_1.



Alvaro Miyazawa, Pedro Ribeiro, Wei Li, Ana Cavalcanti, Jon TIMMIS, AND JIM WOODCOCK.

ROBOCHART: MODELLING AND VERIFICATION OF THE FUNCTIONAL BEHAVIOUR OF ROBOTIC APPLICATIONS.

Software and Systems Modeling, 2019. DOI: 10.1007/s10270-018-00710-z (To Appear).