

### MHD WAVE MODES OF TWISTED MAGNETIC FLUX TUBE

#### **VIKTOR FEDUN**

#### O. Cheremnykh, A. Kryshtal and G. Verth

The University of Sheffield, Automatic Control and Systems Engineering, Plasma Dynamics Group, v.fedun@sheffield.ac.uk



An axially symmetric, vertical and magnetically twisted flux tube is a convenient model for analytical studies of various magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) perturbations

#### Assumptions

- MHD wave propagation in a magnetic flux tube with an internal twist only.
- To go beyond cold plasma equilibria conditions the vertical magnetic field inside and outside the flux tube are allowed to be different (similarly to Ryutov and Ryutova (1976), Bennett et al. (1999), Spruit, (1981, 1982); Edwin and Roberts, (1983), Goossens (2002), Erdélyi & Fedun (2007, 2010) +++.
- In the framework of ideal MHD, we assume incompressible linear perturbations and implement the thin tube approximation.
- We will focus on the analytical solutions related to modes with only  $m \ge 1$ .

Both inside and outside the tube the equilibrium magnetic field is given by



The geometry of the problem: a straight, vertical, uniformly twisted magnetic flux tube in an ambient magnetic field.

$$\mathbf{B} = B_{\varphi}(r)\mathbf{e}_{\varphi} + B_{z}(r)\mathbf{e}_{z}$$



We start from the linearised ideal MHD equations (see e.g. Kadomtsev (1966); Priest (1982)) for the displacement vector of a finite volume element.



We start from the linearised ideal MHD equations (see e.g. Kadomtsev (1966); Priest (1982)) for the displacement vector of a finite volume element.

By assuming the time dependence of all perturbed physical quantities as  $exp(-i\omega t)$ 

these equations can be written as

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}}{\partial t^2} = -\rho \omega^2 \boldsymbol{\xi} = \mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$$

W

here 
$$\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = -\nabla \delta p_1 + (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla) \, \delta \mathbf{B} + (\delta \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla) \, \mathbf{B},$$
  
 $\delta p_1 = \delta p + \mathbf{B} \cdot \delta \mathbf{B} = -\gamma p \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} - B^2 \left( \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}_\perp + 2\boldsymbol{\kappa} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}_\perp \right),$   
 $\delta p = -\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \nabla p - \gamma p \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi},$   
 $\delta \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times [\boldsymbol{\xi} \times \mathbf{B}],$   
 $\nabla \cdot \delta \mathbf{B} = 0,$   
 $\boldsymbol{\kappa} = (\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{\tau},$   
 $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{B}/B.$   
 $\mathbf{B}/\sqrt{4\pi} \to \mathbf{B}$ 

corresponds to the perturbed quantities is the equilibrium plasma density is the equilibrium plasma pressure  $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_r \mathbf{e}_r + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\varphi} \mathbf{e}_{\varphi} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_z \mathbf{e}_z \quad \text{is the displacement}$ vector  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp} = \boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\parallel} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ is the adiabatic index is the angular frequency  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is the equilibrium magnetic field R is the normalised magnetic field au $\delta p_1$  is the perturbation of total plasma pressure K is the vector of curvature of magnetic field lines.

$$\boldsymbol{\kappa} = \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\right) \frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{B}$$



Also we must satisfy the magneto-hydrostatic equilibrium

$$\frac{d}{dr}\left(p+\frac{B^2}{2}\right) + \frac{B_{\varphi}^2}{r} = 0$$



Also we must satisfy the magneto-hydrostatic equilibrium

$$\frac{d}{dr}\left(p+\frac{B^2}{2}\right) + \frac{B_{\varphi}^2}{r} = 0$$

All equilibrium quantities depend on  $r_i$  alone  $r_i = \xi(r) \exp i(-\omega t + m\varphi + k_z z)$ 



Also we must satisfy the magneto-hydrostatic equilibrium

$$\frac{d}{dr}\left(p+\frac{B^2}{2}\right) + \frac{B_{\varphi}^2}{r} = 0$$

All equilibrium quantities depend on *r* alone  $\mathbf{F} \boldsymbol{\xi}(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \boldsymbol{\xi}(r) \exp i(-\omega t + m\varphi + k_z z)$ 

$$\rho\omega^{2}\xi_{r} - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\delta p_{1} - \frac{2B_{\varphi}\delta B_{\varphi}}{r} + \mathrm{i}\left(\frac{m}{r}B_{\varphi} + k_{z}B_{z}\right)\delta B_{r} = 0,$$
  

$$\rho\omega^{2}\xi_{\varphi} - \frac{\mathrm{i}m}{r}\delta p_{1} + \frac{\delta B_{r}}{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\left(rB_{\varphi}\right) + \mathrm{i}\left(\frac{m}{r}B_{\varphi} + k_{z}B_{z}\right)\delta B_{\varphi} = 0,$$
  

$$\rho\omega^{2}\xi_{z} - \mathrm{i}k_{z}\delta p_{1} + \delta B_{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}B_{z} + \mathrm{i}\left(\frac{m}{r}B_{\varphi} + k_{z}B_{z}\right)\delta B_{z} = 0.$$

We focus on all the modes with  $m \ge 1$ , corresponding to non-axially symmetric oscillations which are the kink m = 1 and surface m > 1 modes



Also we must satisfy the magneto-hydrostatic equilibrium

$$\frac{d}{dr}\left(p+\frac{B^2}{2}\right) + \frac{B_{\varphi}^2}{r} = 0$$

All equilibrium quantities depend on *r* alone  $\mathbf{F} \boldsymbol{\xi}(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \boldsymbol{\xi}(r) \exp i(-\omega t + m\varphi + k_z z)$ 

$$\rho\omega^{2}\xi_{r} - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\delta p_{1} - \frac{2B_{\varphi}\delta B_{\varphi}}{r} + \mathrm{i}\left(\frac{m}{r}B_{\varphi} + k_{z}B_{z}\right)\delta B_{r} = 0,$$
  

$$\rho\omega^{2}\xi_{\varphi} - \frac{\mathrm{i}m}{r}\delta p_{1} + \frac{\delta B_{r}}{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\left(rB_{\varphi}\right) + \mathrm{i}\left(\frac{m}{r}B_{\varphi} + k_{z}B_{z}\right)\delta B_{\varphi} = 0,$$
  

$$\rho\omega^{2}\xi_{z} - \mathrm{i}k_{z}\delta p_{1} + \delta B_{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}B_{z} + \mathrm{i}\left(\frac{m}{r}B_{\varphi} + k_{z}B_{z}\right)\delta B_{z} = 0.$$

We focus on all the modes with  $m \ge 1$ , corresponding to non-axially symmetric oscillations which are the kink m = 1 and surface m > 1 modes

For convenience we changed  $\varphi$ and z components of  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  and  $\boldsymbol{k}$  to The components directed along the bi-normal (subscript  $\boldsymbol{b}$ ) and along the magnetic field lines (subscrip ||):

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\mathrm{b}} &= \xi_{\varphi} \frac{B_{z}}{B} - \xi_{z} \frac{B_{\varphi}}{B}, \quad \boldsymbol{e}_{b} = \boldsymbol{e}_{\varphi} \frac{B_{z}}{B} - \boldsymbol{e}_{z} \frac{B_{\varphi}}{B} \\ \xi_{\parallel} &= \xi_{\varphi} \frac{B_{\varphi}}{B} + \xi_{z} \frac{B_{z}}{B}, \quad \boldsymbol{e}_{\parallel} = \boldsymbol{e}_{\varphi} \frac{B_{\varphi}}{B} + \boldsymbol{e}_{z} \frac{B_{z}}{B} \\ k_{b} &= \frac{m}{r} \frac{B_{z}}{B} - k_{z} \frac{B_{\varphi}}{B}, \\ k_{\parallel} &= \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{\parallel} = \frac{m}{r} \frac{B_{\varphi}}{B} + k_{z} \frac{B_{z}}{B}. \end{aligned}$$





This equation is equivalent to the well known Hain-Lüst equation (Hain & Lüst 1958)



$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left[ \frac{\rho \left( \omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2 \right)}{k_b^2 + \chi^2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left( r\xi_r \right) \right] + 2r\xi_r \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left[ \frac{B_{\varphi}^2}{r^2} \frac{\chi^2}{k_b^2 + \chi^2} + \frac{B_{\varphi}B_z}{r^2} \frac{k_{\parallel}k_b}{k_b^2 + \chi^2} \right] &= \rho \left( \omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2 \right) \xi_r + 2\xi_r B_{\varphi} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left( \frac{B_{\varphi}}{r} \right) \\ &- 4\xi_r \frac{B_{\varphi}^2}{r^2 \rho} \frac{\chi^2}{k_b^2 + \chi^2} \frac{\left( k_{\parallel}B_z - k_b B_{\varphi} \right)^2}{\left( \omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2 \right)} \\ & \varepsilon_{\mathrm{A}}^2 &= \frac{B^2}{\rho}, \quad c_{\mathrm{S}}^2 &= \frac{\gamma p}{\rho}, \quad c_T^2 = \frac{c_{\mathrm{S}}^2}{1 + \beta}, \quad \beta = \frac{c_{\mathrm{S}}^2}{c_{\mathrm{A}}^2} \\ & \chi^2 &= \frac{\left( \omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2 \right) \left( \omega_{\mathrm{S}}^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{T}}^2 \right)}{\left( c_{\mathrm{S}}^2 + c_{\mathrm{A}}^2 \right) \left( \omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{T}}^2 \right)} \end{aligned}$$

This equation is equivalent to the well known Hain-Lüst equation (Hain & Lüst 1958) For incompressible perturbations :

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left[ \frac{\rho \left( \omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2 \right)}{k_z^2 + m^2 / r^2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left( r \xi_r \right) \right] + 2r \xi_r \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left[ \frac{BB_{\varphi}}{r^2} \frac{k_{\parallel} \left( m/r \right)}{k_z^2 + m^2 / r^2} \right] - \xi_r \left[ \rho \left( \omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2 \right) + 2B_{\varphi} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left( \frac{B_{\varphi}}{r} \right) - \frac{4 \left( B_{\varphi}^2 / r^2 \right)}{k_z^2 + m^2 / r^2} \frac{k_z^2 \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2}{\left( \omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2 \right)} \right] = 0$$



### The long wavelength approximation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left[ \frac{\rho \left( \omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2 \right)}{k_z^2 + m^2/r^2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left( r\xi_r \right) \right] + 2r\xi_r \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left[ \frac{BB_{\varphi}}{r^2} \frac{k_{\parallel} \left( m/r \right)}{k_z^2 + m^2/r^2} \right] - \xi_r \left[ \rho \left( \omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2 \right) + 2B_{\varphi} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left( \frac{B_{\varphi}}{r} \right) - \frac{4 \left( B_{\varphi}^2/r^2 \right)}{k_z^2 + m^2/r^2} \frac{k_z^2 \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2}{\left( \omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2 \right)} \right] = 0$$

 $\varepsilon = k_z a \ll 1$ 



### The long wavelength approximation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left[ \frac{\rho \left( \omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2 \right)}{k_z^2 + m^2 / r^2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left( r\xi_r \right) \right] + 2r\xi_r \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left[ \frac{BB_{\varphi}}{r^2} \frac{k_{\parallel} \left( m/r \right)}{k_z^2 + m^2 / r^2} \right] - \xi_r \left[ \rho \left( \omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2 \right) + 2B_{\varphi} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left( \frac{B_{\varphi}}{r} \right) - \frac{4 \left( B_{\varphi}^2 / r^2 \right)}{k_z^2 + m^2 / r^2} \frac{k_z^2 \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2}{\left( \omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2 \right)} \right] = 0$$

$$\varepsilon = k_z a \ll 1 \longrightarrow m^2 + k_z^2 r^2 = m^2 + \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^2 \varepsilon^2 \approx m^2$$



# The long wavelength approximation and boundary conditions

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left[ \frac{\rho \left( \omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2 \right)}{k_z^2 + m^2 / r^2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left( r\xi_r \right) \right] + 2r\xi_r \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left[ \frac{BB_{\varphi}}{r^2} \frac{k_{\parallel} \left( m/r \right)}{k_z^2 + m^2 / r^2} \right] - \xi_r \left[ \rho \left( \omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2 \right) + 2B_{\varphi} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left( \frac{B_{\varphi}}{r} \right) - \frac{4 \left( B_{\varphi}^2 / r^2 \right)}{k_z^2 + m^2 / r^2} \frac{k_z^2 \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2}{\left( \omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2 \right)} \right] = 0$$

$$\varepsilon = k_z a \ll 1 \longrightarrow m^2 + k_z^2 r^2 = m^2 + \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^2 \varepsilon^2 \approx m^2$$



$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\left[\left(\rho\omega^2 - F^2\right)r\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}r}\right] + \frac{\mathrm{d}F^2}{\mathrm{d}r}\frac{\phi}{r} - \left(\rho\omega^2 - F^2\right)\frac{m^2\phi}{r^2} + 4\frac{B_{\varphi}^2}{r^2}\frac{k_z^2F^2}{\left(\rho\omega^2 - F^2\right)}\phi = 0,$$
  
$$\phi = r\xi_r, \ F(r) = \frac{m}{r}B_{\varphi}(r) + k_zB_z$$



# The long wavelength approximation and boundary conditions

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left[ \frac{\rho \left( \omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2 \right)}{k_z^2 + m^2/r^2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left( r\xi_r \right) \right] + 2r\xi_r \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left[ \frac{BB_{\varphi}}{r^2} \frac{k_{\parallel} \left( m/r \right)}{k_z^2 + m^2/r^2} \right] - \xi_r \left[ \rho \left( \omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2 \right) + 2B_{\varphi} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left( \frac{B_{\varphi}}{r} \right) - \frac{4 \left( B_{\varphi}^2/r^2 \right)}{k_z^2 + m^2/r^2} \frac{k_z^2 \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2}{\left( \omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{A}}^2 \right)} \right] = 0$$

$$\varepsilon = k_z a \ll 1 \longrightarrow m^2 + k_z^2 r^2 = m^2 + \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^2 \varepsilon^2 \approx m^2$$



$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\left[\left(\rho\omega^2 - F^2\right)r\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}r}\right] + \frac{\mathrm{d}F^2}{\mathrm{d}r}\frac{\phi}{r} - \left(\rho\omega^2 - F^2\right)\frac{m^2\phi}{r^2} + 4\frac{B_{\varphi}^2}{r^2}\frac{k_z^2F^2}{\left(\rho\omega^2 - F^2\right)}\phi = 0,$$
  
$$\phi = r\xi_r, \ F(r) = \frac{m}{r}B_{\varphi}(r) + k_zB_z$$

#### **Boundary conditions**

$$\langle \phi \rangle = \phi \left( a + 0 \right) - \phi \left( a - 0 \right) = 0$$

$$\left\langle \delta p_1 - \frac{B_{\varphi}^2}{r^2} \phi \right\rangle = 0$$



$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\left[\left(\rho\omega^{2}-F^{2}\right)r\frac{d\phi}{dr}\right] + \frac{dF^{2}}{dr}\frac{\phi}{r} - \left(\rho\omega^{2}-F^{2}\right)\frac{m^{2}\phi}{r^{2}} + 4\frac{B_{\varphi}^{2}}{r^{2}}\frac{k_{z}^{2}F^{2}}{(\rho\omega^{2}-F^{2})}\phi = 0,$$



$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\left[\left(\rho\omega^2 - F^2\right)r\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}r}\right] + \frac{\mathrm{d}F^2}{\mathrm{d}r}\frac{\phi}{r} - \left(\rho\omega^2 - F^2\right)\frac{m^2\phi}{r^2} + \left(4\frac{B_{\varphi}^2}{r^2}\frac{k_z^2F^2}{\left(\rho\omega^2 - F^2\right)}\phi\right) \neq 0,$$











Ruderman (2007), for example, only demonstrated this for the particular internal background magnetic twist  $B_{\omega} \propto r$ .



$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\left[\left(\rho\omega^2-F^2\right)r^3\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi_r}{\mathrm{d}r}\right]=0\qquad \mathbf{B}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\left(0,B_{\varphi}\left(r\right),B_{\mathrm{zi}}\right), \ r\leq a\\ \left(0,0,B_{\mathrm{ze}}\right) & r>a.\end{array}\right.$$

$$\xi_r(r) = \begin{cases} \xi_a = \text{const.}, \ r \le a \\ \xi_a \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^2, \quad r > a. \end{cases}$$

Also, this result in an agreement with with the purely numerical study of Terradas & Goossens (2012) who solved the ideal linearised MHD equations in the zero- $\beta$  regime

Terradas & Goossens (2012) found that the kink mode frequency in the long wavelength approximation was not affected by particular choice of a quadratic radial profile of  $B_{\omega}$ 

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le x < p, \\ (x-p)(q-x), & p \le x \le q, \\ 0, & x > q, \end{cases}$$



$$\left\langle \delta p_1 - \frac{B_{\varphi}^2}{r^2} \phi \right\rangle = 0$$

$$\delta p_1 = \frac{1}{m^2} \left[ \frac{2m}{r} B_{\varphi} F \phi + \left( \rho \omega^2 - F^2 \right) r \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}r} \right]$$

$$\phi = r \xi_r, \ F(r) = \frac{m}{r} B_{\varphi}(r) + k_z B_z$$

$$\xi_r(r) = \left\{ \xi_a = \mathrm{const.}, \ r \le a \\ \xi_a \left( \frac{a}{r} \right)^2, \ r > a . \right\}$$



$$\begin{split} \left\langle \delta p_{1} - \frac{B_{\varphi}^{2}}{r^{2}} \phi \right\rangle &= 0 \\ \delta p_{1} &= \frac{1}{m^{2}} \left[ \frac{2m}{r} B_{\varphi} F \phi + \left( \rho \omega^{2} - F^{2} \right) r \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}r} \right] \\ \phi &= r\xi_{r}, \ F(r) = \frac{m}{r} B_{\varphi}(r) + k_{z} B_{z} \\ \bullet \\ \left\langle \rho_{i} + \rho_{e} \right\rangle \omega^{2} &= \left( F_{i}(r)^{2} + F_{e}^{2} \right) \Big|_{r=a} - 2 \frac{B_{\varphi}(r) F_{i}(r)}{r} \Big|_{r=a} + \frac{B_{\varphi}^{2}(r)}{r} \Big|_{r=a} \\ \bullet \\ F_{i}(r) &= B_{\varphi}(r) / r + k_{z} B_{zi}, \\ F_{e} &= k_{z} B_{ze}, \end{split}$$



$$\left\langle \delta p_{1} - \frac{B_{\varphi}^{2}}{r^{2}} \phi \right\rangle = 0$$

$$\delta p_{1} = \frac{1}{m^{2}} \left[ \frac{2m}{r} B_{\varphi} F \phi + \left( \rho \omega^{2} - F^{2} \right) r \frac{d\phi}{dr} \right]$$

$$\phi = r\xi_{r}, F(r) = \frac{m}{r} B_{\varphi}(r) + k_{z} B_{z}$$

$$(\rho_{i} + \rho_{e}) \omega^{2} = \left( F_{i}(r)^{2} + F_{e}^{2} \right) \Big|_{r=a} - 2 \frac{B_{\varphi}(r)F_{i}(r)}{r} \Big|_{r=a} + \frac{B_{\varphi}^{2}(r)}{r} \Big|_{r=a} - \frac{F_{i}(r)}{F_{e}} = k_{z} B_{ze},$$

$$\omega^{2} = \frac{k_{z}^{2}}{(\rho_{i} + \rho_{e})} \left( B_{zi}^{2} + B_{ze}^{2} \right)$$
is the same as the kink mode in the thin tube approximation without twist. Therefore, the frequency and radial displacement are unaffected by the choice of internal twist for the kink mode.





$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\left[\left(\rho\omega^{2}-F^{2}\right)r^{3}\frac{d\xi_{r}}{dr}\right]+\left(1-m^{2}\right)\left(\rho\omega^{2}-F^{2}\right)\xi_{r}=0.\qquad B=\begin{cases}\left(0,B_{\varphi}\left(a\right)r/a,B_{zi}\right),\ r\leq a\\\left(0,0,B_{ze}\right)&r>a\end{cases}$$



$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\left[\left(\rho\omega^{2}-F^{2}\right)r^{3}\frac{d\xi_{r}}{dr}\right]+\left(1-m^{2}\right)\left(\rho\omega^{2}-F^{2}\right)\xi_{r}=0, \qquad B=\begin{cases} \left(0,B_{\varphi}\left(a\right)r/a,B_{zi}\right), \ r\leq a\\ \left(0,0,B_{ze}\right), \ r>a\end{cases}$$

$$(\rho\omega^2 - F^2) \left[ \frac{1}{r} \left( r^3 \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi_r}{\mathrm{d}r} \right) + \left( 1 - m^2 \right) \xi_r \right] = 0$$

$$\xi_r = \begin{cases} \xi_a \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{m-1}, & r \le a \\ \xi_a \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{m+1}, & r > a. \end{cases}$$



$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\left[\left(\rho\omega^{2}-F^{2}\right)r^{3}\frac{d\xi_{r}}{dr}\right]+\left(1-m^{2}\right)\left(\rho\omega^{2}-F^{2}\right)\xi_{r}=0, \qquad B=\begin{cases} \left(0,B_{\varphi}\left(a\right)r/a,B_{zi}\right), \ r\leq a\\ \left(0,0,B_{ze}\right), \ r>a\end{cases}$$

$$(\rho\omega^2 - F^2) \left[ \frac{1}{r} \left( r^3 \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi_r}{\mathrm{d}r} \right) + \left( 1 - m^2 \right) \xi_r \right] = 0$$

$$\omega^{2} = \frac{1}{(\rho_{i} + \rho_{e})} \left[ k_{z}^{2} \left( B_{zi}^{2} + B_{ze}^{2} \right) + m (m - 1) \frac{B_{\varphi}^{2}(a)}{a^{2}} + \frac{2k_{z}}{a} (m - 1) B_{\varphi}(a) B_{zi} \right].$$



For fluting modes with  $m \ge 2$  we will assume that inside the tube the magnetic twist varies linearly and outside it is zero

$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\left[\left(\rho\omega^{2}-F^{2}\right)r^{3}\frac{d\xi_{r}}{dr}\right]+\left(1-m^{2}\right)\left(\rho\omega^{2}-F^{2}\right)\xi_{r}=0, \qquad B=\begin{cases} \left(0,B_{\varphi}\left(a\right)r/a,B_{zi}\right), \ r\leq a\\ \left(0,0,B_{ze}\right), \ r>a\end{cases}$$

$$(\rho\omega^2 - F^2) \left[ \frac{1}{r} \left( r^3 \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi_r}{\mathrm{d}r} \right) + \left( 1 - m^2 \right) \xi_r \right] = 0$$

$$\xi_r = \begin{cases} \xi_a \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{m-1}, \ r \le a \\ \xi_a \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{m+1}, \ r > a. \end{cases}$$

$$\left\langle \left(\rho\omega^2 - F^2\right)r\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}r} + \frac{2m}{r}B_{\varphi}F\phi - m^2\left(\frac{B_{\varphi}}{r}\right)^2\phi \right\rangle = 0.$$

$$\omega^{2} = \frac{1}{(\rho_{i} + \rho_{e})} \left[ k_{z}^{2} \left( B_{zi}^{2} + B_{ze}^{2} \right) + m (m - 1) \frac{B_{\varphi}^{2}(a)}{a^{2}} + \frac{2k_{z}}{a} (m - 1) B_{\varphi}(a) B_{zi} \right].$$

relation is invariant under the substitution (m,  $k_z$ )  $\rightarrow$  (-m, - $k_z$ ), resulting in:

$$\omega^{2} = \frac{1}{(\rho_{i} + \rho_{e})} \left[ k_{z}^{2} \left( B_{zi}^{2} + B_{ze}^{2} \right) + m \left( m - \operatorname{sign}(m) \right) \frac{B_{\varphi}^{2}(a)}{a^{2}} + \frac{2k_{z}}{a} \left( m - \operatorname{sign}(m) \right) B_{\varphi}(a) B_{zi} \right].$$





The frequency of fluting modes ( $m \ge 2$ ) given by this equation, in contrast to kink modes (m = 1), has a minimum value when



For all  $m \ge 2$  modes the eigenfunction  $\xi_r$  has a form of power function and describes perturbations which are localised at the surface of the twisted magnetic flux tube.



#### Conclusions

- In the long wavelength limit, that both the frequency and radial velocity profile of the m = 1 kink mode are completely unaffected by the choice of internal background magnetic twist.
- Fluting modes with m ≥ 2 are sensitive to the particular radial profile of magnetic twist chosen.
- Due to background twist, a low frequency cut-off is introduced for fluting modes that is not present for kink modes. From an observational point of view, although magnetic twist does not affect the propagation of long wavelength kink modes, for fluting modes it will either work for or against the propagation, depending on the direction of wave travel relative to the sign of the background twist.