



# The relationship between homeworking and health and wellbeing before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review

Lindsay Blank, Emma Hock, Anna Cantrell, Susan Baxter, Elizabeth Goyder School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield

### **Introduction and Aims**

Working from home involves conducting paid occupational work in the home. Terms used to describe home working include 'teleworking', 'telecommuting', 'e-working' and 'new ways of working'.<sup>1-3</sup>

Prevalence of home working increased from 2-5% mainly and 12-18% occasionally4,5 to ~50% (some / all of the time) with the onset of COVID-19.4,6 Home working and hybrid working look set to continue.4



Methods (2)

Titles and abstracts screened

by one reviewer and checked

Full texts screened by one

reviewer and exclusions

Uncertainties resolved by

checked by a second

Study selection:

discussion

Specific workplace health guidance relating to working from home is needed.

We aimed to identify, appraise and synthesise existing evidence that explores the impact of home working on health and wellbeing.

Form developed and piloted

Quality appraisal undertaken

• Extraction performed by one

Relationships between home

working and health / wellbeing

synthesised using mind maps

and summary tables of factors reported in papers

Grouping of factors discussed

within team for consensus and

validated by PPI group.

reviewer, 10% checked

Extracted key details in tabular

Data extraction:

Synthesis:

### Methods (1)

### Inclusion criteria:

- Those doing paid work at home (full time or hybrid)
- Before and during pandemic
- Any health or wellbeing outcome with
- · Quantitative, qualitative, mixed
- methods, observational studies · English language.

- MEDLINE, EMBASE, Web of Science, ASSIA, IBSS, PsycINFO, LabourDiscovery
- Reference lists of included papers and relevant reviews
- Citation searching
- Relevant key websites (grey lit)

### Exclusion criteria:

- Students, temporary remote teaching during lockdown
- Studies on flexible office hours or
- another remote location
- Work-related outcomes (e.g. job satisfaction, work-life balance) Books and dissertations
- Non-OECD countries.



## Study selection:

 2514 records from databases 135 full texts examined

Results (1)

- 635 from citation search & 50 from grey literature examined
- 96 included studies / sources
- Most (66) conducted since start

of pandemic

- physical (in)activity, stress
- associations

# Pre-COVID-19 studies:

- Benefits: Ool life satisfaction alcohol use, tobacco use
- Detriments: strain, exhaustion, risk of burnout
- Neutral: overall physical health
- · Mixed: physical (in)activity,
- mental health Complex associations

### During-COVID-19 studies: · Benefits: sleep

- · Detriments: musculoskeletal problems, mental health, isolation
- Neutral: drug use, pain
  Mixed: QoL, diet, alcohol use,
- No clear patterns, complex



# Results (2)

Little evidence of a moderating relationship between individual characteristics (age, ethnicity, education, income).

Some evidence of a more negative impact on women during the COVID-19 pandemic, in particular those with children.

Important factors include control, choice, (lack of) private space in which to work, and degree of monitoring by employers.

Most studies were cross-sectional. with self-selected samples, and selfreported measures.



### **Conclusions and implications**

The evidence base for home working and health/wellbeing has hugely expanded due to the need for increased homeworking during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Associations with health / wellbeing can be both positive and negative depends on context. role of employers, and individual circumstances

### **Employers can:**

- Offer choice over work location
- Provide support to staff
- working from home • Treat each worker as an individual

## Researchers can:

- Recruit more representatively · Conduct longitudinal
- cohort and in-depth qualitative studies
  - Focus on inequalities