Comparative study of a dynamic seeding method and osteogenic supplementation in Mesenchymal Stem Cells

INSIGNECÍ Institute for in silico Medicine

Ilona Bognar, supervised by Areli Munive Olarte and Prof. Gwendolen Reilly

Background

Development of *in vitro* bone models that resemble the *in vivo* physiological bone structure remain a challenge. In this study, Mesenchymal Stem Cell (MSC) proliferation on a 3D porous material under static and dynamic conditions was compared. In addition, the effect of dexamethasone (DEX) withdrawal on MSC cultures was studied as it is shown to induce osteogenic differentiation, but timing exposure is not well clarified.

Dynamic vs static cell seeding Met		Methe	ods	Effects of DEX on		n osteogenic activity
 Scaffold preparation Synthesis of IBOA & EHA scaffolds of 3 different % porosities (81 > 77 > 72) Plasma coating & hydration of scaffolds 		8mm	Sample	[Dex] (nM)	Time of withdrawal (days)	Table of 5 different conditions; maximum(+), minimum(-), midpoint(o)
			Α	10(-)	4(-)	
			В	100(+)	11(+)	
Cell seeding - Y201 human MSCs		С	10(-)	11(+)		
Static - cell suspension	Dynamic - after suspension, 1	plate was	D	100(+)	4(-)	Minitab factorial design
passively pipetted onto	rocked for 1hr at 80 rpm. Modeli	deling	E	50(o)	8(o)	was used to create DOE
scaffold	fluid shear stress at bottom of	f(t) = f(t)	control	100(+)		
	with variables: fluid viscosity(μ), flip angle(θ), fluid depth/well length (δ), cycle length(T) $\tau \sim 0.06$ Pa $\pi\mu\theta_{max}$ $ \tau = \frac{\pi\mu\theta_{max}}{2\delta^2 T}$ [1]	Cell seeding First DEX Last DEX withdrawal withdrawal (4) (11)				
		Days:	0 Supple	37ementMidpo	10 14 $21Dint Last day of culture$	
Measurements	Qualitative analysis of cell distr	ribution:	addition (1) v		on (1) withd	ndrawal (8) cell lysates obtained
		<u>(</u>	<u>Dsteogen</u> bhosphata	<u>ic activi</u> ase (AL]	ty quantification. P) assays: Cell lv	$\frac{\text{alkaline}}{\text{sate + substrate.}}$













indicates p<0.05, while ** indicates p<0.001 (GraphPad Prism) b) Neural red: representative stained scaffolds for each condition stated above c) Static and dynamic 72% porosity samples stained with Hoechst 33342

against control (GraphPad Prism)

В ctrl D E А Samples

Conclusions

- It is observed that dynamic seeding methods improve the attachment and distribution of cells with regard to sample porosity. D81 was the sample with the most viable cells, while in D72 the cells were better distributed.
- ALP activity was significantly higher in sample B, suggesting that DEX may be withdrawn at day 11 of culture. In DOE analysis, only time of DEX withdrawal proved to have an effect on the osteogenic activity of Y201 cells.
- Overall, the influence of mechanical stimuli such as shear stress and time of osteogenic supplementation had an impact on

MSCs responses and should be taken into account in the development of *in vitro* bone models.

References:

[1] X. Zhou, D. Liu, L. You, and L. Wang, "Quantifying fluid shear stress in a rocking culture dish," Journal of Biomechanics, vol. 43, no. 8, pp. 1598–1602, 2010.





NHS Foundation Trust

The Insigneo Institute for *in silico* Medicine is a collaborative initiative between the University of Sheffield, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and Sheffield Children's Hospital