Sampling design optimisation for rainfall prediction using a non-stationary geostatistical model
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Rainfall prediction and optimisation of rain-gauge network

The accuracy of spatial predictions of rainfall by merging radar and rain-gauge data is partly determined by the sampling design of the rain gauge network. Optimising the locations of the rain gauges may increase the accuracy of the predictions. In this study, we optimised the sampling pattern of rain gauges using an extension of the Kriging with External Drift (KED) model for prediction of rainfall fields. The model incorporates both non-stationarity in the mean and in the variance. The space-time averaged KED variance was minimised by Spatial Simulated Annealing (SSA). The model was tested using a case study near Manchester in the United Kingdom (Fig.1) for a one-month period at daily scale.

Material and methods

- **Data**
  185 daily rainfall measured by gauges from the Environmental Agency (EA)
  Radar images from Nimbus at 1km resolution
  Digital Elevation Model (DEM)
- **Methodology**
  Kriging with an external drift with non-stationary variance
  \[ \hat{Z}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} \beta_k f_k(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{L} \alpha_i g_i(x) \cdot \epsilon(x) \]
  Covariates \(f_k\) for the mean
  Covariates \(g_i\) for the standard deviation
- **Parameter estimation**
  Restricted Maximum Likelihood Estimation (REML)
- **Sampling design optimisation**
  Spatial Simulated Annealing (SSA)
  Minimising the space-time averaged kriging variance:
  \[ \frac{1}{T} \int_{z=0}^{1} \frac{1}{A} \int_{x \in A} \text{Var}(Z(x) - \hat{Z}(x)) \, dx \, dt \]

Results & Discussion

![Spatial correlogram parameters (bottom left), coefficients for the covariates associated to the mean (upper left) and standard deviation (right) per day for the year 2010.](image)

The model parameters are estimated by REML for each day separately. In Fig.2 (bottom left), \(r_0\) is the nugget, \(a\) is the range. In Fig.2 (upper left) \(\beta_0\) is the intercept, \(\beta_1\) is the coefficient for the radar image. In Fig.2 (right) \(x_0\) is the intercept, \(x_1\) is the coefficient for the radar elevation model \(x\) radar image, \(x_2\) is the coefficient distance from the radar \(x\) radar image and \(x_3\) is the coefficient for the radar beam blockage \(x\) radar image.

![Original (left) and optimised (right) maps of the rain-gauge network with associated density. The rain-gauges move to areas of high uncertainty of radar imagery to minimise the space-time rainfall error prediction variance.](image)

Fig. 3 shows that density of rain-gauge increase in areas of high uncertainty (far away from the radar, areas of beam blockage, mountainous areas), but a few stations are kept in areas of low radar imagery uncertainty.

After optimisation, the reduction of the rainfall prediction error variance is about 5%.

Conclusion

- 1. Some decrease of rainfall prediction error variance is obtained by optimisation of rain-gauge network
- 2. Optimised network is a compromise between uniform sampling and more intensive sampling in areas where radar imagery is inaccurate
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